

**MINUTES FOR THE COURT OF APPEAL
STATE OF CALIFORNIA
SECOND APPELLATE DISTRICT**

July 6, 2006

DIVISION TWO

B183659 Russo (Not for Publication)
v.
Rampias

The judgment is affirmed. Appellant shall pay the costs of appeal.

Chavez, J.

We concur: Boren, P.J.
Ashmann-Gerst, J.

DIVISION THREE

B187472 People v. Quintanilla

(Not for Publication)

The appeal is dismissed.

Klein, P.J.

We concur: Croskey, J.
 Kitching, J.

DIVISION FOUR

[illegible]

The judgment is reversed as to the disposition and remanded with directions that the juvenile court render a new disposition, exercising its discretion under section 731(b) in deciding whether or not the maximum period of confinement should be the same or less than that applicable to an adult and, if less, what the period should be. In all other respects, the judgment is affirmed.

Epstein, P.J.

We concur: Willhite, J.
Hastings, J. (Assigned)

B185238 People (Not for Publication)
v.
Moreno

The judgment is affirmed.

Hastings, J. (Assigned)

We concur: Epstein, P.J.
Willhite, J.

DIVISION FIVE

B187177 People (Not for Publication)
v.
Victor Navarro

The judgment is affirmed.

Kriegler, J.

We concur: Armstrong, Acting P.J.
Mosk, J.

July 6, 2006 (Continued)

DIVISION FIVE (Continued)

B189834 Pablo M. (Not for Publication)
v.
Superior Court, Los Angeles County
(Los Angeles Department of Children and Family Services, r.p.i.)

The petition for extraordinary writ is denied. Pursuant to the CRC rule 24(b)(3), this opinion is made final forthwith as to this Court.

Armstrong, Acting P.J.

We concur: Mosk, J.
Kriegler, J.

B178955 Lilavati Sharma (Not for Publication)
v.
A. Michael Pardue

The orders in B179689 and B178955 are affirmed. The appeal in B180236 is dismissed. A. Michael Pardue to recover his costs on appeal.

Kriegler, J.

We concur: Armstrong, Acting P.J.
Mosk, J.

DIVISION SIX

B187679 People (Not for Publication)
v.
Wharton

The judgment is affirmed.

Coffee, J.

We concur: Yegan, Acting P.J.
Perren, J.

July 6, 2006 (Continued)

DIVISION SIX (Continued)

B178264 Eranio (Not for Publication)
v.
Eranio

The order of the trial is reversed and remanded. Upon remand, the court shall make the calculations required by section 4055 using the financial information in the documents submitted to the court on August 24, 2004. If the trial court makes an award different from the guideline amount, the court shall make findings required by section 4056. We also reverse the denial of attorney's fees and direct the court to use the parties' current financial information and make the requisite findings under section 2032. (*In re Marriage of Cheriton, Supra*, 92 Cal.App4th at p. 18.)

Nothing in this opinion shall preclude wife from recovering attorney's fees and costs incurred on appeal; .however, such a request for fees and costs must be directed to the trial court in the first instance (*In re Marriage of Schofield* (1998) 2 cal App.4th 131, 140-141.)

Perren, J.

We concur: Gilbert, P.J.
Coffee, J.

DIVISION SEVEN

Court convened at 9:00 a.m.

Present: Perluss, P.J., Johnson, J., Woods, J., Zelon, J. and Eva McClintock, Deputy Clerk.

Each of the following:

B170759	People v. Patino
B181561	People v. Wright
B182275	People v. Donovan G.
B182323	People v. Paredes
B183678	People v. Mikey T.
B184106	People v. Mitchell
B184129	People v. Sims
B184170	People v. Gibson

DIVISION SEVEN (Continued)

Each of the following (continued):

B185624 People v. Robins
B186907 People v. Deshon R.
B185916 People v. Shaw

Argument waived, cause submitted.

B180503 People
 v.
 Hernandez

Merits:

Argued by Fay Arfa for appellant and by Allison Chung, Deputy Attorney General for respondent. Cause submitted.

B184718 People
 v.
 Moore

Merits:

Argued by Lise Breakey for appellant and by David Madeo, Deputy Attorney General for respondent. Cause submitted.

B185377 People
 v.
 Cole

Merits:

Argued by Lynnette Moore for appellant and by Robert Katz, Deputy Attorney General for respondent. Cause submitted.

DIVISION SEVEN (Continued)

B186661 People
 v.
 Rodriguez

Merits:
Argued by Richard Fitzner for appellant and by Lance Winters, Deputy Attorney General for respondent. Cause submitted.

B184839 People
 v.
 Ford

Merits:
Argued by Katherine Greenebaum for appellant and by Richard Breen, Deputy Attorney General for respondent. Cause submitted.

B179017 People
 v.
 Rayford
 Glass

Merits:
Argued by Gary Crooks and Gregory Cannon for appellants and by Noah Hill, Deputy Attorney General for respondent. Cause submitted.

B183474 Jory et al.,
 v.
 EVP Fourth Corp., et al.,

Merits:
Argued by Jeffrey Miller for appellants and by Herman Goldsmith for respondents. Cause submitted.

DIVISION SEVEN (Continued)

B179095 Cordier
 v.
 Tkach et al.,

Merits:
Argued by John Tkach and Pamela Dunn for appellants and by David
Cordier for respondent. Cause submitted.

Court recessed at 12:07 p.m.

Court reconvened at 1:30 p.m.

Present: Perluss, P.J., Johnson, J., Woods, J., Zelon, J. and Eva McClintock, Deputy
Clerk.

B182094 Bragg Crane & Rigging
 v.
 California Occupational Safety & Health Appeals

Merits:
Argued by Eugene McMenamin for appellant and by James Madden for
respondent. Cause submitted.

B183731 Valero
 v.
 Hagan

Merits:
Argued by William Houser for appellant and by Michael Brown for
respondent. Cause submitted.

B185805 Neuwirth
 v.
 L.A. Hillel Council

Merits:
Argued by Charles Fonarow for appellant and by Matthew Trostler for
respondent. Cause submitted.

DIVISION SEVEN (Continued)

B182958 Ramirez
v.
Butcher et al.,

Merits:
Argued by Vincent Tien for appellant and by Robert Hunt for respondents.
Cause submitted.

B176552 Kelly
v.
County of Los Angeles

Merits:
Argued by Larry Stratton for appellant and by Steven Pingel for respondent. Cause submitted.

B180062 Seever
v.
Copley Press, Inc.,

Merits:
Argued by Scott Cummings for appellant and by Tara Wilcox for respondent. Cause submitted.

Court adjourned.

DIVISION EIGHT

B183251 People (Not for Publication)
v.
Corral

For the reasons set forth above, we affirm the judgment.

Rubin, J.

We concur: Cooper, P.J.
Boland, J.

DIVISION EIGHT (Continued)

B179793 Marjus, Incorporated (Not for Publication)
 v.
 Tavis
 Matelson,

The order under review is affirmed. Marjus and Matelson shall recover their costs from Tavis.

Cooper, P.J.

We concur: Boland, J.
 Flier, J.

B181762 Bradley et al., (Not for Publication)
 v.
 Express Scripts, Inc.,

The order denying a preliminary injunction is affirmed. No party is entitled to costs on appeal.

Cooper, P.J.

We concur: Rubin, J.
 Boland, J.

B169636 Consumer Advocacy Group, Inc., (Certified for Publication)
 v.
 Kintetsu Enterprises Of America et al.,

The consent judgments are reversed. Appellant and Attorney General are entitled to costs on appeal.

Cooper, P.J.

We concur: Boland, J.
 Armstrong, J. (Assigned)